International Journal of Surveying and Structural Engineering

E-ISSN: 2707-8418
P-ISSN: 2707-840X
www.civilengineeringjournals.com/ijsse
IJSSE 2023; 4(2): 16-18
Received: 17-05-2023
Accepted: 25-06-2023

Lucia Chirwa
Department of Civil Engineering, University of Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe

Fadzai Mazarire
Department of Civil Engineering, University of Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe

Corresponding Author:
Lucia Chirwa
Department of Civil Engineering, University of Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe

Evaluating progressive collapse in composite shell structures

Lucia Chirwa and Fadzai Mazarire

Abstract
Progressive collapse refers to the domino effect that can occur when a structural component fails and triggers a chain reaction leading to the collapse of an entire structure or a significant portion of it. This phenomenon poses serious safety concerns, particularly in composite shell structures which combine various materials to enhance structural performance. This review paper provides a comprehensive evaluation of progressive collapse mechanisms in composite shell structures, examining their vulnerability, analysis methods, and mitigation strategies. The review synthesizes recent research, identifies gaps in current knowledge, and suggests future directions for improving the resilience of composite shell structures against progressive collapse.

Keywords: Progressive collapse, composite shell, structures

Introduction
Progressive collapse refers to a phenomenon where the failure of a small portion of a structure triggers a chain reaction of failures that ultimately lead to the collapse of a large portion or the entire structure. This catastrophic behavior poses a significant risk to composite shell structures, which are widely used in various engineering applications due to their strength, durability, and aesthetic appeal. Composite shell structures, such as reticulated shell roofs, sports arenas, and industrial buildings, combine materials to achieve desirable structural properties and are particularly vulnerable to progressive collapse because of their complex load distribution and structural behavior. The complexity of composite shell structures arises from their geometric configurations and the interactions between different materials used in their construction. These structures often feature intricate support systems and load-bearing configurations, making them susceptible to unexpected failure modes under extreme conditions. The failure of one component can propagate through the structure, leading to widespread damage and, in severe cases, complete collapse. The evaluation of progressive collapse in composite shell structures requires a thorough understanding of the mechanisms that drive such collapses. It involves assessing both the intrinsic factors, such as material properties and structural design, and extrinsic factors, such as environmental loads and accidental impacts. Previous research has focused on various aspects of progressive collapse, including theoretical modeling, experimental studies, and numerical simulations. However, there remains a need for a comprehensive review that integrates these findings and provides a cohesive understanding of the progressive collapse phenomena specific to composite shell structures.

Objective of the paper
The objective of the paper is to provide a comprehensive evaluation of progressive collapse mechanisms in composite shell structures.

Factors Influencing Progressive Collapse
Progressive collapse is a complex phenomenon influenced by multiple factors that interact to cause the failure of composite shell structures. Understanding these factors is crucial for designing structures that can withstand extreme conditions and prevent catastrophic failures. The following discussion elaborates on key factors influencing progressive collapse based on previous studies.

One of the primary factors influencing progressive collapse is the structural configuration of composite shell systems. The geometric complexity and load distribution of these structures play a significant role in their vulnerability to collapse. Composite shells often feature intricate patterns and support systems, such as reticulated frameworks and overlapping materials, which can affect how forces are transmitted through the structure. Structural configurations that result in uneven load distribution or create weak points are more susceptible to progressive collapse. Material properties also play a critical role in determining a structure's resistance to progressive collapse. Composite shell structures typically use a combination of materials, such as steel, concrete, and advanced composites, each with distinct mechanical properties. The interaction between these materials can influence the overall structural behavior. For example, variations in material strength, stiffness, and ductility can affect how loads are carried and how failures propagate through the structure. Studies have shown that the ability of composite materials to withstand dynamic loads and resist local failures is essential in mitigating progressive collapse. The load conditions imposed on composite shell structures are another significant factor. These structures must endure various loads, including dead loads, live loads, wind forces, seismic activities, and accidental impacts. Extreme or unexpected load conditions can trigger a chain reaction of failures if the structure is not adequately designed to handle such stresses. Research has indicated that the dynamic nature of these loads, particularly during events like earthquakes or explosions, can exacerbate the risk of progressive collapse. The design of composite shell structures must account for potential overloads and the ability of the structure to redistribute forces in the event of local failures. Construction and design practices also influence the susceptibility of composite shell structures to progressive collapse. Inadequate detailing, poor construction quality, and insufficient integration of structural elements can introduce weaknesses that compromise the integrity of the entire system. Studies have highlighted the importance of rigorous quality control and adherence to design standards to ensure that composite shells are constructed to withstand extreme conditions and prevent progressive collapse. Additionally, previous research has underscored the role of load path redundancy in preventing progressive collapse. Structures with multiple load paths and redundant supports are better equipped to manage and redistribute loads in the event of a component failure. The presence of redundancy helps prevent localized failures from escalating into widespread collapse by ensuring that alternate load paths can carry the imposed loads. Overall, the factors influencing progressive collapse in composite shell structures are multifaceted and interconnected. Structural configuration, material properties, load conditions, construction practices, and load path redundancy all contribute to the overall resilience of these structures. By understanding and addressing these factors, engineers and designers can develop more robust composite shell structures capable of resisting progressive collapse and ensuring safety in extreme conditions.

Evaluation Methods

Evaluating the susceptibility of structures to progressive collapse involves a range of methods and approaches, each tailored to assess different aspects of structural behavior under extreme conditions. These methods are crucial for understanding how failures can propagate through composite shell structures and for developing strategies to mitigate such risks. One of the fundamental methods for evaluating progressive collapse is structural analysis. This approach involves using mathematical models and simulations to predict how a structure will respond to various loads and failure scenarios. Structural analysis typically employs finite element modeling (FEM), which divides the structure into discrete elements and analyzes their behavior under different loading conditions. FEM can simulate the effects of material degradation, geometric imperfections, and load redistributions, providing insights into how local failures might lead to progressive collapse. By modeling different failure scenarios, engineers can identify critical points where the structure might be vulnerable and assess the potential for collapse. Experimental testing is another important evaluation method. This involves physical tests on structural models or components to observe their behavior under controlled conditions. Testing can include static load tests, where structures are subjected to incremental loads until failure occurs, and dynamic tests, where structures are exposed to impact or seismic simulations. Experimental testing provides empirical data on how materials and structural elements perform in real-world conditions, validating and complementing theoretical models. Tests can reveal weaknesses not captured in simulations and help refine design practices to enhance resistance to progressive collapse. Pushdown analysis is a specific method used to evaluate the progressive collapse resistance of structures. This approach involves incrementally applying downward forces to simulate the effects of local failures, such as the removal of a support or column. By observing how the structure behaves under these conditions, engineers can determine whether the structure can redistribute loads and prevent a cascade of failures. Pushdown analysis helps in assessing the capacity of a structure to withstand initial failures and maintain stability, providing valuable information for designing resilient systems. Dynamic analysis is used to assess the structural response to time-dependent loads and impacts. This method considers the effects of dynamic forces, such as those from earthquakes or explosions, and evaluates how these forces influence the potential for progressive collapse. Dynamic analysis typically involves sophisticated simulations that account for the complex interactions between structural components and external forces. By examining the structure's response to dynamic loading, engineers can better understand how sudden or extreme events might trigger progressive collapse and develop strategies to enhance structural resilience. Load path analysis is another critical evaluation method. This approach examines how loads are transferred through the structure and identifies potential failure points along the load paths. By analyzing the load distribution and the capacity of different structural elements, engineers can determine how failures might affect the overall stability of the system. Load path analysis helps in identifying redundancies and ensuring that alternative load paths are available to prevent the spread of failure. Finally, vulnerability assessments are conducted to evaluate the potential impact of various failure scenarios on the overall structure. These assessments consider factors such as the structural layout, material properties, and load conditions to identify areas of weakness and potential failure modes. By integrating data from structural analysis, experimental testing, and dynamic simulations, vulnerability assessments provide a comprehensive view of the structure's resilience to progressive collapse and guide the development of mitigation strategies. In summary, evaluating progressive collapse involves a combination of structural analysis, experimental testing, pushdown and dynamic analysis, load path examination, and vulnerability assessments. These methods provide a thorough understanding of how composite shell structures respond to extreme conditions and help in designing systems that can effectively resist and manage the risks of progressive collapse.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the evaluation of progressive collapse in composite shell structures is a critical area of study that combines theoretical analysis, experimental testing, and advanced modeling techniques to enhance structural resilience. Through a comprehensive review of various methods - such as structural analysis, experimental testing, pushdown analysis, dynamic analysis, load path assessment, and vulnerability evaluations - it becomes evident that each approach provides unique insights into the behavior of structures under extreme conditions. The integration of these methods offers a robust framework for understanding how localized failures can propagate and lead to progressive collapse. Structural analysis and finite element modeling allow for detailed simulations of load redistribution and failure mechanisms, while experimental testing provides empirical validation of theoretical models. Pushdown and dynamic analyses further contribute to the assessment of a structure's capacity to withstand sudden or extreme loads, and load path analysis ensures that alternative routes for load distribution are considered. Vulnerability assessments tie these elements together, offering a holistic view of potential weaknesses and guiding the development of effective mitigation strategies. The findings underscore the importance of a multi-faceted approach to evaluating and designing for progressive collapse. By combining various analytical and empirical techniques, engineers and researchers can better predict and prevent the spread of failures, thereby improving the safety and durability of composite shell structures. This comprehensive understanding is essential for advancing structural design practices and ensuring that future constructions are resilient to progressive collapse, ultimately contributing to the overall safety and reliability of infrastructure.

References

1. Ellingwood B, Nair S. Performance-based design for progressive collapse. Struct. Eng. Int. 2004;14(2):77-84.
2. Diba C, Fard M. Progressive collapse analysis of composite structures. J Struct. Eng. 2011;137(8):903-912.
3. Hsu TT, Chen JF. Experimental investigation on the progressive collapse of composite structures. Composite Struct. 2005;68(3):311-320.
4. Zhang W, Liu Y. Finite element modeling of progressive collapse in composite shell structures. Eng. Struct. 2009;31(10):2390-2401.
5. Chao JY, Wu JY. Pushdown analysis of composite shell structures for progressive collapse. Adv. Struct. Eng. 2007;10(2):95-106.
6. Zhou X, Wang H. Dynamic response and progressive collapse of composite structures. J Struct. Dyn. 2010;8(4):235-247.
7. Mousavi H, Alavi A. Load path analysis in composite structures. Struct. Saf. 2013;41:26-35.
8. Shao X, Yu X. Vulnerability assessment of composite shell structures. Struct. Control Health Monit. 2012;19(5):391-402.
9. Gao Q, Yang Y. Experimental and theoretical analysis of progressive collapse in composite shells. Composite Sci Technol. 2006;66(12):1973-84.
10. Li S, Zhang X. Advanced modeling techniques for progressive collapse in composite shell structures. J Comput Appl Mech. 2008;9(3):185-94.
11. Kumar R, Sharma A. Evaluation of progressive collapse potential in composite structures. Struct. Eng. Rev. 2011;13(4):295-310.
12. Nguyen T, Kim K. Analysis of progressive collapse using dynamic response techniques. J Civil Eng Manage. 2014;20(2):158-70.
13. Kim J, Oh J. Structural response of composite shells under extreme loading conditions. Int J Struct Stability Dyn. 2010;10(2):223-242.
14. He L, Liu Q. Behavior of composite shells subjected to progressive collapse. J Eng. Mech. 2009;135(12):1600-8.