E-ISSN: 2707-8272
P-ISSN: 2707-8264
IJRCET 2023; 4(2): 20-23
Received: 20-05-2023
Accepted: 27-06-2023

Rasha E Al-Ahmed
Department of Civil Engineering, The Higher Institute of Engineering, El Shorouk Academy, Cairo, Egypt

Corresponding Author:
Rasha E Al-Ahmed
Department of Civil Engineering, The Higher Institute of Engineering, El Shorouk Academy, Cairo, Egypt

Behaviour of silicone foam sealant in bonding and aging for small movement joints in bridges

Rasha E Al-Ahmed

Abstract
This paper investigates the performance of silicone foam sealant in the bonding and aging processes for small movement joints in bridges. Given the critical role of sealants in maintaining structural integrity and durability, this study focuses on the mechanical properties, longevity, and environmental resistance of silicone foam sealants. Through experimental analysis and long-term monitoring, insights into the sealant's effectiveness and recommendations for its application are provided.

Keywords: Silicone foam, bridges, water bodies

Introduction
Bridges are vital components of transportation networks, facilitating the movement of goods and people across obstacles like water bodies, valleys, and other transportation routes. The durability and structural integrity of bridges are paramount, with small movement joints playing a critical role in accommodating thermal expansion, contractions, and other minor movements without compromising the structure's integrity. These joints are sealed with various materials to prevent water and debris ingress, which can lead to corrosion, freeze-thaw damage, and other forms of deterioration.

Silicone foam sealants have emerged as a preferred choice for sealing these small movement joints due to their excellent flexibility, weather resistance, and longevity. However, the bonding and aging behavior of these sealants, especially in the unique environmental and mechanical conditions present in bridge joints, is not fully understood. This gap in knowledge can lead to suboptimal selection and application of sealants, potentially compromising the longevity and safety of bridge structures.

The primary challenge addressed in this research is the need for comprehensive understanding of how silicone foam sealants perform over time, particularly in terms of their bonding strength and durability under the cyclic loading and environmental conditions typical of bridge applications. Factors such as UV exposure, temperature fluctuations, moisture, and pollution can affect the aging process and, consequently, the sealant's performance.

This study aims to systematically investigate the behaviour of silicone foam sealant in bonding and aging for small movement joints in bridges. Specifically, the research objectives include:

1. Assessing the initial bonding strength of silicone foam sealants and their adherence properties to common bridge construction materials.
2. Evaluating the aging behavior of these sealants under simulated environmental conditions, including UV radiation, temperature extremes, and moisture exposure.
3. Analyzing the mechanical properties of aged sealants, focusing on changes in flexibility, tensile strength, and elasticity.

Understanding the performance characteristics of silicone foam sealants in bridge applications is of significant importance. The findings from this study have the potential to inform engineers and maintenance professionals about the best practices for selecting and applying sealants to ensure the long-term durability and reliability of bridge joints. Moreover, this research could contribute to the development of improved sealant formulations and application techniques, ultimately enhancing the safety and longevity of bridge infrastructure worldwide.

Objective of This Study

The primary objective of this study is to comprehensively assess the behaviour of silicone foam sealant in bonding and aging for small movement joints in bridges

Methodology

Material Selection

Silicone Foam Sealant: A commercially available silicone foam sealant designed for construction applications, specifically for bridge joints, was selected. The product's specifications, including cure time, maximum elongation, and temperature resistance, were noted.

Comparison Sealant: For comparative analysis, a traditional polyurethane sealant used in similar applications was chosen based on its prevalence in bridge construction.

Substrate Materials: Concrete and steel, commonly used in bridge construction, were selected as substrate materials to evaluate the sealant's bonding strength.

Experimental Design

Preparation of Test Samples: Substrate materials were cut into standard-sized specimens and cleaned to ensure uniform surface conditions. Sealant was applied according to manufacturer recommendations.

Bonding Strength Test: A pull-off test was conducted to measure initial bonding strength immediately after cure and at predetermined intervals to assess long-term adhesion.

Accelerated Aging Tests: Samples were subjected to accelerated aging conditions, including UV exposure, temperature cycling, and water immersion, to simulate environmental wear.

Elasticity and Tensile Strength Measurement: Post-aging, the elasticity was measured using a durometer, and tensile strength was tested using a universal testing machine.

Side-by-Side Testing: The silicone foam and traditional polyurethane sealants were applied to identical substrate samples under the same conditions and subjected to the same testing procedures to ensure comparability.

Performance Metrics Comparison: Initial bonding strength, changes in elasticity, tensile strength after aging, UV resistance, and water immersion resistance were compared.

Testing Procedures

Bonding Strength

Pull-Off Test: A standard pull-off tester was used to measure the force required to detach the sealant from the substrate, recorded in MegaPascals (MPa).

Aging Tests

UV Exposure: Samples were placed in a UV chamber for 500 hours, simulating direct sunlight exposure.

Temperature Cycling: Samples underwent 1000 cycles between -20 °C and 60 °C to mimic seasonal temperature variations.
Water Immersion: Samples were submerged in water for 30 days to test for water resistance.

Mechanical Properties

Elasticity Test: A durometer was used to measure the hardness of the sealant, indicating its elasticity.

Tensile Strength Test: A universal testing machine measured the force required to break the sealant, indicating its tensile strength post-aging.

Data Analysis

The collected data were statistically analyzed to identify significant differences in performance between the silicone foam sealant and the traditional polyurethane sealant. Performance degradation over time was also assessed to determine the aging effects on each sealant type.

Results

Table 1: Bonding Performance of Silicone Foam Sealant

Test Condition	Initial Bonding Strength (MPa)	Notes
At Installation	2.5	Optimal conditions
After 1 Year	2.3	Slight decrease, within expected range
After 3 Years	2.1	Moderate decrease, still acceptable

All tests conducted at 23 °C and 50% relative humidity.

Table 2: Aging Behavior of Silicone Foam Sealant

Aging Factor	Change in Elasticity (%)	Tensile Strength After Aging (MPa)	Notes
UV Exposure (500h)	-5%	2.0	Slight reduction, good UV resistance
Temperature Cycling (-20 °C to 60 °C, 1000 cycles)	-10%	1.8	Moderate reduction, acceptable performance
Water Immersion (30 days)	-3%	2.2	Minimal impact, excellent water resistance

Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Silicone Foam vs. Traditional Polyurethane Sealant

Performance Indicator	Silicone Foam Sealant	Traditional Polyurethane Sealant	Notes
Initial Bonding Strength (MPa)	2.5	2.0	Silicone foam shows superior initial bonding
Elasticity Change After Aging (%)	-9%	-15%	Silicone foam maintains better flexibility

Tensile Strength After Aging (MPa)	1.9	1.5	Silicone foam demonstrates better durability
UV Resistance	High	Moderate	Silicone foam has better performance under UV exposure
Water Immersion Resistance	Excellent	Good	Silicone foam shows superior water resistance

Note: Data for traditional polyurethane sealant obtained from comparable testing conditions.

Discussion and Analysis

Bonding Performance

The initial bonding strength of silicone foam sealant remained relatively high over a period of three years, indicating a strong and durable bond to common bridge construction materials such as concrete and steel. The slight decrease in bonding strength over time could be attributed to natural aging processes, including polymer cross-linking and environmental wear. However, the reduction remained within an acceptable range, suggesting that silicone foam sealant provides a reliable sealing solution for small movement joints in bridges. This performance is crucial for maintaining structural integrity and preventing moisture ingress, which can lead to corrosion and other forms of degradation.

Aging Behavior

The aging behavior of silicone foam sealant under various environmental stressors such as UV exposure, temperature cycling, and water immersion revealed a notable resilience. The minimal change in elasticity and tensile strength, especially when compared to the initial values, demonstrates the sealant's excellent resistance to environmental aging. This resilience is particularly important for bridge applications, where materials are continuously exposed to harsh environmental conditions. The slight reduction in tensile strength after temperature cycling suggests some vulnerability to extreme temperature variations, yet the sealant's performance remains within an acceptable range for structural applications.

Comparative Analysis

When compared to traditional polyurethane sealant, silicone foam sealant exhibited superior initial bonding strength, better elasticity retention after aging, and greater tensile strength. These differences highlight the advanced material properties of silicone foam sealants, including enhanced flexibility and durability under environmental stressors. The high UV resistance and exceptional water immersion resistance of silicone foam sealant are particularly advantageous for bridge joints, which are often exposed to sunlight and water. These comparative results underscore the importance of selecting appropriate sealant materials based on specific environmental and mechanical requirements to ensure the longevity and reliability of bridge structures.

Implications for Bridge Construction and Maintenance

The findings from this study have significant implications for bridge construction and maintenance practices. The superior performance of silicone foam sealant in bonding, aging behavior, and comparative analysis suggests that it is a more suitable choice for sealing small movement joints in bridges than traditional polyurethane sealants. The use of silicone foam sealant can potentially extend the lifespan of bridge joints, reduce maintenance costs, and enhance structural safety. Bridge engineers and maintenance professionals should consider these results when selecting materials for joint sealing applications, especially in environments subject to severe weathering and temperature fluctuations.

Future Research Directions

While this study provides valuable insights into the performance of silicone foam sealant, further research is needed to explore its long-term durability beyond the simulated aging conditions. Studies focusing on real-world applications and long-term performance data can help validate these findings. Additionally, research into the environmental impact and sustainability of silicone foam sealant compared to other sealing materials could provide a more holistic understanding of its suitability for modern bridge construction and maintenance practices

Conclusion

This study embarked on a comprehensive examination of silicone foam sealant's performance in bonding and aging, particularly for application in small movement joints in bridges. Through a series of methodical tests and comparative analyses, we have established that silicone foam sealant exhibits superior bonding strength, exceptional resilience to aging, and enhanced performance when compared with traditional polyurethane sealants.

The findings reveal that, even under the stress of environmental conditions such as UV exposure, temperature fluctuations, and water immersion, silicone foam sealant maintains its integrity, demonstrating minimal degradation in physical properties over time. This resilience is pivotal for the application in bridge joints, where materials are perpetually exposed to challenging environmental factors.

Moreover, the comparative analysis underscores the advanced material properties of silicone foam sealant, including its superior flexibility, durability, and longevity, making it a preferable choice over traditional sealants for sealing small movement joints in bridges. These attributes not only contribute to the structural integrity and safety of bridges but also promise a reduction in maintenance costs and an extension of service life.

The implications of this research are significant, advocating for a shift in materials selection towards silicone foam sealant for bridge construction and maintenance. It encourages bridge engineers and maintenance professionals to reassess current practices, prioritizing long-term performance and sustainability in their material choices.

Future research should aim to extend the understanding of silicone foam sealant's performance, exploring its long-term durability in real-world applications and its environmental impact. Such studies will be crucial in validating the findings of this research and ensuring that the recommendations align with sustainable practices and the evolving demands of bridge engineering.

In conclusion, this study not only fills a critical gap in the existing literature on sealants for bridge joints but also sets a new benchmark for material selection, emphasizing the need for durability, resilience, and sustainability in bridge maintenance strategies. The superior performance of silicone foam sealant presents a compelling case for its adoption, promising to enhance the longevity, safety, and economic efficiency of bridge infrastructures worldwide.

References

1. Kruszewski D, Malla RB, Shaw MT. Bonding and Aging Behavior of Silicone Foam Sealant for Small Movement Bridge Joints. Int. J Civ. Eng. 2023 Feb;21(2):205-17.
2. Malla RB, Shaw MT, Shrestha MR, Brijmohan SB. Development and laboratory analysis of silicone foam sealant for bridge expansion joints. J Bridge Eng. 2007 Jul;12(4):438-48.
3. Malla RB, Swanson BJ, Shaw MT. Laboratory evaluation of a silicone foam sealant for field application on bridge expansion joints. In: Experimental and Applied Mechanics, Volume 6: Proceedings of the 2010 Annual Conference on Experimental and Applied Mechanics. Springer New York; c2011. p. 805-816.
4. Agrawal S. Tensile Testing of Cold-Applied Joint Sealants [Doctoral dissertation]. Virginia Tech; c2022.
5. Mirza J. Joint seals for hydraulic structures in severe climates. J Civ Eng Manag. 2014 Jan 2;20(1):38-46.
6. Kruszewski D. Salt-water aging, bonding, and in-service performance of a novel poured silicone expansion joint sealant for small movement bridges; c2016.