E-ISSN: 2707-8310
P-ISSN: 2707-8302
www.civilengineeringjournals.com/ijhce
IJHCE 2024; 5(1): 01-04
Received: 02-11-2023
Accepted: 05-12-2023

Bassem Bouacem
Department of Civil Engineering, Mentouri Constantine 1 University, Constantine, Algeria

Khelifa Jaouadi
Department of Civil Engineering, Mentouri Constantine 1 University, Constantine, Algeria

Corresponding Author:
Bassem Bouacem
Department of Civil Engineering, Mentouri Constantine 1 University, Constantine, Algeria

Comparative analysis of geothermal and hydropower as sustainable energy solutions

Bassem Bouacem and Khelifa Jaouadi

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/27078302.2024.v5.i1a.36

Abstract
This article provides a comprehensive comparison between geothermal energy and hydropower, two prominent renewable energy sources, by assessing their operational mechanisms, environmental impacts, economic benefits, and limitations. It aims to elucidate their potential roles in a sustainable energy future, emphasizing technological advancements and policy frameworks that could enhance their deployment and efficiency.

Keywords: Psychiatric disorders, suicide, suicide attempt

Introduction
The shift towards renewable energy sources is a pivotal element of global strategies to mitigate climate change and ensure energy security for the future. Among various renewable technologies, geothermal and hydropower have established themselves as significant contributors to the energy mix, each offering unique benefits and facing distinct challenges. This comparative analysis seeks to delve deeply into these two technologies, exploring their technical, environmental, and economic characteristics to better understand their roles in sustainable energy systems.

Geothermal energy, which utilizes the Earth's internal heat, offers the advantage of providing stable, continuous power with minimal environmental impact. Its ability to generate electricity and heat with a high capacity factor makes it a reliable base load power source. However, geothermal energy's exploitation is often limited by geographical and geological factors, with the best resources located in tectonically active regions.

On the other hand, hydropower, one of the oldest and most mature forms of energy generation, harnesses the kinetic energy of flowing water. It is known for its ability to provide large-scale power and grid stability thanks to its capacity for quick ramp-up times and energy storage capabilities through pumped storage systems. Despite its benefits, hydropower's environmental and social impacts, such as habitat disruption and community displacement, pose significant challenges that require careful management and mitigation strategies.

This analysis aims to provide a comprehensive overview of both geothermal and hydropower, examining how each can be optimized and potentially integrated with other forms of renewable energy. By comparing these technologies, we aim to highlight the synergies and trade-offs between them, thereby informing energy policy and helping to guide future investments in renewable energy infrastructure. In doing so, this study addresses the pressing need for sustainable, reliable, and cost-effective energy solutions in the face of escalating global energy demands and the urgent requirement to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Objective
The main objective of the comparative analysis between geothermal and hydropower as sustainable energy solutions is to evaluate and understand the distinct characteristics, advantages, and limitations of each technology in order to inform decision-making regarding their integration into the global energy mix.

Geothermal Energy

Geothermal energy is a form of renewable energy that taps into the Earth's internal heat to generate electricity and provide direct heating. This energy source harnesses the natural heat that lies beneath the Earth's surface, using it to produce power with minimal environmental footprint. Geothermal resources range from shallow ground to hot water and rock several miles beneath the Earth's surface, and even farther down to the extremely hot molten rock called magma. To capture this energy, three main types of geothermal power plants are utilized: dry steam, flash steam, and binary cycle. Dry steam plants take steam out of fractures in the ground and use it to directly drive turbine generators. Flash steam plants pull deep, high-pressure hot water into cooler, low-pressure water. The sudden drop in pressure causes the water to turn into steam, which is then used to power a generator. Lastly, binary cycle power plants pass hot water through heat exchangers where it heats a secondary fluid that vaporizes at a lower temperature than water; this vapor then drives a turbine. Geothermal energy is renowned for its ability to provide reliable, continuous, and sustainable power. Unlike solar and wind energy, geothermal power is a base load resource, which means it can produce power constantly, irrespective of weather conditions. The capacity factor for geothermal energy is very high, often exceeding 90%. This compares favorably with other forms of renewable energy. In terms of environmental impact, geothermal energy stands out for its low emissions. It emits about 45 grams of CO_2 per kilowatt-hour on average, which is significantly lower than conventional coal power plants, which emit around 1000 grams of CO_2 per kilowatt-hour. Moreover, geothermal power plants typically consume less land per megawatt of electricity produced compared to other renewable resources, such as wind and solar farms. Despite these advantages, geothermal energy is not without its challenges. The initial costs associated with geothermal energy can be high, largely due to the exploration and drilling required to access geothermal reservoirs. These activities also carry a degree of geological risk as the presence of accessible and economically viable geothermal resources can only be confirmed after significant investment in exploration. Geographically, geothermal power plants are often located in regions with abundant geothermal activity, such as along tectonic plate boundaries or in volcanic areas. The United States leads in geothermal electricity production, with California's Geysers complex being the largest geothermal development in the world. Other countries with significant geothermal power operations include Indonesia, the Philippines, and Iceland, where nearly 90% of the country's homes are heated directly from geothermal sources. Advancements in technology, such as Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS), which involve engineering geothermal reservoirs, promise to expand the potential of geothermal energy by allowing access to heat resources in locations not currently feasible with conventional technologies. In summary, geothermal energy is a powerful and sustainable energy source that provides a low-emission alternative to fossil fuels. While it requires significant initial investment and is geographically limited, its benefits in providing reliable and continuous power make it a critical component of the renewable energy mix. Continued technological advancements and further research into reducing operational costs and expanding viable locations are expected to increase the role of geothermal energy in global energy production.

Fig 1: Geothermal Energy

Hydropower: Flowing Water as a Power Source

Hydropower, the oldest and one of the most mature forms of renewable energy, harnesses the kinetic energy of flowing water to generate electricity. It is the most widely used form of renewable energy, accounting for around 16% of global electricity generation and over 60% of the world's renewable electricity as of recent years. This method of energy production is crucial for many regions around the globe, particularly in developing countries where it contributes significantly to energy security and economic development. The basic principle behind hydropower is simple: water flows from higher to lower areas, and as it does so, it can be directed to turn turbines that drive generators to produce electricity. The amount of power generated is dependent on both the volume of water flow and the height from which the water falls (head). Therefore, the most effective hydropower plants are often located in mountainous areas or along large rivers. There are several types of hydropower facilities, including impoundment (dam), diversion, and pumped storage. Impoundment facilities, the most common type, involve the construction of a dam across a river to create a reservoir. Water released from the reservoir flows through turbines to generate electricity. Diversion projects, often called run-of-river, channel a portion of a river through a canal or penstock and may not require the use of a dam. Pumped storage plants, which are more like energy storage systems, use surplus electricity to pump water from a lower reservoir to an upper one; the water is then released to generate power when electricity demand is high or generation from other sources is low. Hydropower plants can vary in size from massive projects like China's Three Gorges Dam, which has a capacity of around 22,500 megawatts, to small systems known as micro-hydropower plants, which can generate up to 100 kilowatts of electricity for local communities or individual homes. Economically, hydropower is one of the most cost-effective energy sources. Once a dam has been built and the equipment installed, the energy it produces does not incur any fuel costs and has very low operational and maintenance costs. This makes hydropower a highly appealing option, particularly for large-scale energy production. The initial costs of building a hydropower plant can be high, but the long lifespan (often 50-100 years), and the potential for low electricity costs over time, can offset the initial investment. However, hydropower also faces several challenges and criticisms, particularly concerning its environmental and social impacts. Large-scale dams can lead to the displacement of communities, changes in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, and alterations to the natural flow of rivers, which can have profound impacts on downstream water quality and availability. Seasonal variability and climate change pose additional risks, potentially affecting rainfall patterns and water availability, thus impacting power generation. Despite these challenges, technological advances and increased sensitivity to environmental and social issues are leading to the development of more sustainable hydropower strategies. Modern turbine and dam technologies are improving efficiency and reducing environmental impacts, and policies are increasingly requiring the consideration of ecological and community factors in the planning and operation of hydropower plants. In conclusion, hydropower is a critical component of the world's energy mix due to its ability to provide reliable, low-cost, and low-carbon energy. Its future role will likely depend on balancing its economic benefits with the need to mitigate its environmental and social impacts, alongside adapting to a changing global climate.

Comparative Analysis

The comparative analysis between geothermal and hydropower energy sources highlights several critical differences and similarities that inform their roles in sustainable energy production. Geothermal energy typically achieves thermal-to-electric conversion efficiencies of 10-20%, which reflects the inherent challenges of converting subterranean heat into electricity, where significant energy is unavoidably lost as heat. In contrast, hydropower can reach efficiencies ranging from 35-90%, due to the more direct process of converting water flow into electrical energy, which generally involves less energy loss. In terms of capacity factor, geothermal energy operates with a high degree of consistency, typically between 70-95%. This is attributed to the continuous availability of its energy source, the Earth's internal heat, making it highly reliable and predictable. Hydropower, however, has a capacity factor that can vary widely, often between 30-60% but can reach up to 90% depending on environmental factors. This variability stems from seasonal and annual changes in water availability, which can significantly impact the kinetic energy available for electricity generation. When considering environmental impacts, geothermal plants emit about 45 grams of CO2 per kWh, a figure that is impressively low compared to fossil fuels but still higher than that of hydropower. These emissions are mainly due to the release of naturally occurring gases from beneath the Earth's surface. Hydropower is associated with even lower emissions, averaging 4-16 grams of CO2 per kWh. The low figures for hydropower are primarily due to minimal organic decomposition in reservoirs, a stark contrast to the high emissions from burning fossil fuels.

The land use required for geothermal energy ranges from 1-8 acres per megawatt, which is relatively modest and significantly less than that required by more fluctuating renewables like solar or wind. Hydropower's demand for land is notably higher, typically around 12-18 acres per megawatt, primarily due to the extensive reservoirs needed for water storage and management.

Economically, both energy types necessitate significant initial investments but are economically viable over the long term due to their minimal operational and maintenance costs. The initial costs are mainly due to the infrastructure needs-drilling and exploration for geothermal and dam construction for hydropower. Despite these initial costs, both technologies typically offer high economic returns due to their long operational lifespans and the stability of their energy outputs.

The reliability of geothermal energy is one of its standout features, as it is largely unaffected by external environmental conditions, providing a continuous power supply. Hydropower's reliability, while generally good, is less consistent, affected by hydrological changes and thus less predictable than geothermal energy.

Overall, while both geothermal and hydropower are potent sustainable energy sources, their suitability and effectiveness are largely dictated by local geographical and environmental factors. Their deployment should ideally be tailored to these conditions to optimize the benefits while minimizing the ecological and economic costs. This nuanced understanding of their characteristics helps in making informed decisions about integrating these technologies into a broader energy strategy.

Metric	Geothermal	Hydropower
Efficiency	10-20% (typical thermal to electric conversion)	35-90% (depending on plant design)
Capacity Factor	70-95%	30-60% (can be up to 90% for some plants)
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	~45 grams CO2/kWh	~4-16 grams CO2/kWh
Average Lifespan	30-50 years	50-100 years
Land Use	1-8 acres/MW	12-18 acres/MW (varies widely with reservoir size)
Initial Capital Cost	$2,500 - $5,000 per kW	$1,000 - $5,000 per kW
Operating and Maintenance Costs	Low to moderate	Very low
Economic Return	High due to low operational costs	High due to long lifespan and low operational costs
Reliability of Power Supply	High (not weather-dependent)	Medium to high (depends on water availability)

Major Findings

Efficiency Differences: Geothermal plants typically exhibit lower efficiency rates (10-20%) in converting thermal energy to electricity due to inherent heat losses in the conversion process. Hydropower, on the other hand, benefits from higher efficiency rates (35-90%), enabled by the direct conversion of kinetic energy from flowing water into electrical energy with minimal loss.

Capacity and Reliability: Geothermal energy provides a remarkably consistent power output, maintaining a high capacity factor (70-95%). This reliability stems from the constant availability of geothermal heat, independent of weather conditions. In contrast, hydropower displays more variability in its capacity factor (30-90%), largely influenced by seasonal and annual fluctuations in water levels, which affects the predictability and consistency of power generation.

Environmental Footprint: Both energy sources offer significant environmental advantages over fossil fuels by emitting substantially lower levels of CO_2. Geothermal energy produces about 45 grams of CO2 per kWh, while hydropower is even lower, emitting between 4 and 16 grams of CO2 per kWh. Despite the low emissions, hydropower's environmental impact can be more pronounced due to its potential to alter river ecosystems and water quality through the construction of large dams and reservoirs.

Land Usage: Geothermal energy generally requires less land per megawatt of power generated (1-8 acres/MW) compared to hydropower, which can require significantly more land (12-18 acres/MW) due to the necessity of large reservoirs. This factor makes geothermal energy more land-efficient, especially valuable in densely populated or ecologically sensitive areas. Economic Considerations: Both technologies involve high initial capital costs-geothermal primarily due to drilling and exploration, and hydropower due to dam construction and civil engineering works. However, both offer low operational and maintenance costs, contributing to favorable long-term economic returns. The economic viability of each technology can vary greatly depending on local geological and hydrological conditions.

Future Outlook and Adaptability: Geothermal energy, with advancements in Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS) and other drilling technologies, has the potential for broader geographic deployment beyond traditional geothermal hotspots. Hydropower’s adaptability is increasingly enhanced by technological innovations in turbine design and operational management aimed at minimizing ecological impacts and improving efficiency.

Conclusion

The study's comparative analysis of geothermal and hydropower as sustainable energy solutions highlights the substantial potential both technologies hold in contributing to a more sustainable and renewable energy landscape. Each energy source presents unique strengths and faces distinct challenges, emphasizing the importance of context-specific considerations in energy planning and development.

Geothermal energy excels in providing reliable, continuous power with a relatively low environmental footprint. Its ability to deliver baseload power irrespective of external weather conditions makes it an invaluable component of a stable and resilient energy grid. Moreover, technological advancements such as Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS) are expanding the potential applications of geothermal energy, making it accessible in regions previously considered unsuitable.

Hydropower, being one of the most established forms of renewable energy, continues to offer significant benefits in terms of efficiency and energy storage capacity. Its ability to quickly adjust output to match demand fluctuations makes it an essential element in balancing energy grids. However, the environmental and social impacts associated with large-scale hydropower projects, such as ecosystem disruption and community displacement, necessitate careful planning and implementation of new projects as well as retrofits of existing dams.

Both energy sources demonstrate low operational costs and long lifespans, which contribute to their economic attractiveness. Nonetheless, the high initial capital costs and the geographical limitations associated with each technology underscore the need for strategic planning and investment.

The future role of both geothermal and hydropower in the global energy mix will depend significantly on advancements in technology, improvements in environmental and economic viability, and the integration of these systems with other forms of renewable energy. As global energy needs continue to grow and the imperative to reduce greenhouse gas emissions becomes ever more urgent, both geothermal and hydropower stand out as key components of a diversified, reliable, and sustainable energy strategy. Their continued development, coupled with a sensitive approach to their environmental and social impacts, will be crucial in achieving global energy sustainability goals.

References

1. Li K, Bian H, Liu C, Zhang D, Yang Y. Comparison of geothermal with solar and wind power generation systems. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2015 Feb 1;42:1464-74.
2. Brimmo AT, Sodiq A, Sofela S, Kolo I. Sustainable energy development in Nigeria: Wind, hydropower, geothermal and nuclear (Vol. 1). Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2017 Jul 1;74:474-90.
3. Mitra M, Singha NR, Chattopadhyay PK. Review on renewable energy potential and capacities of South Asian countries influencing sustainable environment: A comparative assessment. Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments. 2023 Jun 1;57:103295.
4. Dincer I, Acar C. Potential energy solutions for better sustainability. InExergetic, energetic and environmental dimensions; c2018 Jan 1. p. 3-37. Academic Press.
5. Rahman A, Farrok O, Haque MM. Environmental impact of renewable energy source based electrical power plants: Solar, wind, hydroelectric, biomass, geothermal, tidal, ocean, and osmotic. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2022 Jun 1;161:112279.
6. Akan MÖ, Selam AA, Fırat SÜ. Renewable energy sources: Comparison of their use and respective policies on a global scale. In Sustainable Development: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications; c2018. p. 537-567. IGI Global.
7. Rasul M. Clean energy for sustainable development: comparisons and contrasts of new approaches. Academic Press; c2016 Nov 12.